Feedback for Project Number 14

**Golf is Hard: Comparing Ranked and Unranked courses in America**

## Feedback Below

**What is their topic on?**

* *The Topic is comparing top golf courses in USA to determine if the highest-ranking golf courses represent the toughest golf course*

**Are the objectives of the project clearly identifiable?**

The objective of the project is to find out if the stereotypes question asked regarding golf that it is hard, expensive, or exclusive etc. The presenter hopes to answer this question by comparing ranked vs unranked golf courses.

**What data are used?**

The data was used was from [USGA](https://ncrdb.usga.org/) (The United States Golf Association) , Who maintains National Course Ratings Database. Also, spreadsheet from Golf Digest with top 100 course in America from 1977 to 2013 was used.

**What is your overall impression of the project?**

Overall presenter justified the research question and provided analysis, which is true as I also feel same, Golf is hard. Even though, I don’t know much about the golf I was able to follow the analysis done for the research question. However, it would have been a good idea to show how analysis was done in R. what method was used to scrape data from website? how the data was merged? It would be interested to see if both the data file/sources had same variables or different and how the data cleansing was done. Also, it will be helpful to see if having number of more/less golf courses in a state has any relation with the sentiment of golf being hard or easy.